## OGC HAS REVIEWED.

A.U.S. citizen over-one receiving earned income from an organization or enter by an agency of the dovernment has claimed the evention unfor Section Oli(a) without andication of the excention affecting amounts and by the United States or any agency thereof. The organization is not created by an act of Congrues and while its functional auroose falls within the ultimate miss of the Agency, it's activity still requires an identity and valid existence which is independent of the Foderal Covernment.

Section Oll(s) of the Internal Revenue Code of 19th exempts assumts received by individual U.S. different from sources without the U.S. if such assumts constitute earned income attributable to certain various of residence abroad and if the assumts are not "said by the United States or any agency thereof."

The excention of emounts "said by the United States or any agency thereof" first asseared in the Revenus Act of 1932. The legiclative surrose was to terminate a double examption enjoyed by employees of the United States who were normally execut from foreign taxes and without the exception would thus have been relieved of both the foreign and the U.S. taxes. (Senate Report No. 66, of the Committee on Finance, T28 Congress, let Session, on the Revenus Eill of 1932, and the related Nouse of Respectives Report No. 1892, of the Committee on Conference, hear that No. 79, the continent marks of which were sublished in C.F. 1979.1 (Part 2) at mages '18 and \$13, respectively.) There have not been any the present Code of 1974.

Hile the import of the language in the exception at first seems clear, there is a latent embiguity which can only be evaluated in the light of the legislative intent of its drafters. Where the circumstances are such that a double exemption is not possible for certain clarace of people on the basis of their identification with the Government, then requests by a proprietary activity of the U.S. to such persons are not to be construed as being made "by the United States or any agency thereof". provided only that the promiserary serves as the actual source of the payment and not simply as the conduit for nayment to persons who would otherwise receive the funds directly from the U.S. or any of its agencies.

18/

Marctor, Tax Rulinge Division February 7, 1978

Approved For Release 2001/08/24 : CIA-RDP58-00453R000200290002-1

4